**CLAIM AMENDMENTS** 

**Claim Amendment Summary** 

Claims pending

• Before this Amendment: Claims 1-4, 6, 10, 19, 21, and 22.

• After this Amendment: Claims 1-4, 6, 10, 19, 21, 22, and

36-60.

**Previously Canceled claims**: 5, 7-9, 11-18, 20, and 23-35

Amended claims: 1

New claims: 36-60

Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method, comprising:

a first electronic device deriving a digital signature and associating

the digital signature with a web page, wherein only if the web page

includes code to invoke a control object, and wherein the web page does

not include the control object; and

subsequent to associating the digital signature with the web page,

the first electronic device delivering the web page to a second electronic

device capable of authenticating the digital signature such that the second

electronic device executes at least a portion of the web page in response

-3-

to authenticating the digital signature.

Serial No.: 09/650,712 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0579US

Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/22/2006

The Business of IP\*\*

**2. (Original)** The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the associating further comprises attaching the digital signature to the web page.

**3. (Previously Presented)** The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

in an event that the web page does not include code to invoke the control object, the first electronic device delivering the web page without a digital signature.

**4. (Previously Presented)** The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the web page includes a confirmation module that is used by the second electronic device to authenticate the digital signature.

## 5. (Cancelled)

**6. (Original)** The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the web page is generated in an active server page (ASP) environment.

## 7 - 9. (Cancelled)

**10.** (**Previously presented**) The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising designating one or more sources of a web page authorized to invoke the control object.

Serial No.: 09/650,712 Atty Docket No.: MSI-0579US Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

The Business of IP\*\*

www.leehayes.com 509.324.9256

## 11. - 18. (Cancelled)

**19. (Previously presented)** The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the control object includes a confirmation module configured to authenticate the digital signature.

## 20. (Cancelled)

**21.** (**Previously presented**) The method as recited in claim 19, wherein the confirmation module is further configured to determine if the web page comes from a source that is authorized to invoke the control object and the control object is invoked only if the source of the web page is authorized to invoke the control object.

**22.** (**Previously presented**) The method as recited in claim 19, wherein the confirmation module is called by the web page prior to the web page invoking the control object.

23. - 35. (Cancelled)

lee@hayes The Business of IP<sup>7M</sup>

**36.** (New) A method, comprising:

a first electronic device receiving from a second electronic device, a

request to download a web page; and

in response to receiving the request, the first electronic device:

determining whether the web page includes code to invoke a

control object;

in an event that the web page includes code to invoke a

control object, associating a web page digital signature with the web

page; and

delivering the web page to the second electronic device,

wherein the second electronic device is capable of authenticating the

web page digital signature such that the second electronic device

executes at least a portion of the web page in response to

authenticating the web page digital signature.

**37.** (New) The method as recited in claim 36, wherein a control

object digital signature is associated with the control object.

**38.** (New) The method as recited in claim 36, wherein associating

the web page digital signature with the web page further comprises

deriving the web page digital signature.

Serial No.: 09/650,712

Atty Docket No.: MS1-0579US

Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/22/2006

ww.leehayes.com

The Business of IP\*\*

509.324.9256

**39. (New)** The method as recited in claim 36, further comprising:

in an event that the web page does not include code to invoke the

control object:

in response to receiving the request, the first electronic

device delivering the web page to the second electronic device

without the web page digital signature.

**40. (New)** The method as recited in claim 36, wherein the web

page includes a confirmation module that is used by the second electronic

device to authenticate the web page digital signature.

41. (New) The method as recited in claim 36, wherein the control

object includes a confirmation module configured to authenticate the web

page digital signature.

**42.** (New) The method as recited in claim 36, further comprising a

confirmation module determining whether a source of the web page is

authorized to invoke the control object such that the web page is

prevented from invoking the control object if the source of the web page

is not authorized to invoke the control object.

**43. (New)** The method as recited in claim 41, further comprising

the second electronic device invoking the confirmation module prior to

executing the code to invoke the control object.

Serial No.: 09/650,712 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0579U

Atty Docket No.: MS1-0579US Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/22/2006

lee@hayes

The Business of IP™

**44.** (New) A method, comprising:

a first electronic device determining whether a web page includes

code to invoke a control object, wherein the control object does not have

an associated digital signature; and

based on a determination that the web page includes code to invoke

the control object:

the first electronic device associating a digital signature with

the web page; and

subsequent to associating the digital signature with the web

page, the first electronic device delivering the web page to a second

electronic device capable of authenticating the digital signature such

that the second electronic device executes the code to invoke the

control object in response to authenticating the digital signature,

and such that the second electronic device prevents execution of the

code to invoke the control object in response to failing to

authenticate the digital signature.

**45.** (New) The method as recited in claim 44, wherein associating

the digital signature with the web page further comprises deriving the

digital signature.

Serial No.: 09/650,712 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0579US

Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/22/2006

-8-

**46.** (New) The method as recited in claim 44, further comprising:

based on a determination that the web page does not include code

to invoke the control object:

the first electronic device delivering the web page to the

second electronic device without the digital signature.

47. (New) The method as recited in claim 44, wherein the web

page includes a confirmation module that is used by the second electronic

device to authenticate the digital signature.

**48. (New)** The method as recited in claim 44, wherein the control

object includes a confirmation module configured to authenticate the

digital signature.

**49.** (New) The method as recited in claim 44, further comprising a

confirmation module determining whether a source of the web page is

authorized to invoke the control object such that the web page is

prevented from invoking the control object if the source of the web page

is not authorized to invoke the control object.

**50. (New)** The method as recited in claim 49, further comprising

the second electronic device invoking the confirmation module prior to

executing the code to invoke the control object.

Serial No.: 09/650,712 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0579US

Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/22/2006

The Business of IP™

**51.** (New) A method, comprising:

a first electronic device determining whether a web page includes

code to invoke a control object, wherein a first digital signature is

associated with the control object;

based on a determination that the web page includes code to invoke

the control object, the first electronic device associating a second digital

signature with the web page; and

subsequent to associating the second digital signature with the web

page, the first electronic device delivering the web page to a second

electronic device capable of authenticating the second digital signature

such that the second electronic device executes the code to invoke the

control object in response to authenticating the second digital signature,

and such that the second electronic device prevents execution of the code

to invoke the control object in response to failing to authenticate the

second digital signature.

**52.** (New) The method as recited in claim 51, wherein associating

the second digital signature with the web page further comprises deriving

the second digital signature.

Serial No.: 09/650,712

Atty Docket No.: MS1-0579US Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/22/2006

-10-

**53. (New)** The method as recited in claim 51, further comprising:

based on a determination that the web page does not include code

to invoke the control object:

the first electronic device delivering the web page to the

second electronic device without the second digital signature.

54. (New) The method as recited in claim 51, wherein the web

page includes a confirmation module that is used by the second electronic

device to authenticate the second digital signature.

**55.** (New) The method as recited in claim 51, wherein the control

object includes a confirmation module configured to authenticate the

second digital signature.

**56.** (New) The method as recited in claim 51, further comprising a

confirmation module determining whether a source of the web page is

authorized to invoke the control object such that the web page is

prevented from invoking the control object if the source of the web page

is not authorized to invoke the control object.

**57. (New)** The method as recited in claim 56, further comprising

the second electronic device invoking the confirmation module prior to

executing the code to invoke the control object.

Serial No.: 09/650,712 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0579US

Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

-11-

**58. (New)** One or more tangible computer-readable media comprising computer-executable instructions that, when executed, direct a first computing device to:

determine whether a web page includes code to invoke a control object, wherein the control object does not have an associated digital signature; and

based on a determination that the web page includes code to invoke the control object, associate a digital signature with the web page, wherein the digital signature is not directly associated with the control object; and

deliver the web page to a second computing device capable of authenticating the digital signature such that the second computing device executes the code to invoke the control object in response to authenticating the digital signature, and such that the second computing device prevents execution of the code to invoke the control object in response to failing to authenticate the digital signature.

-12-

Serial No.: 09/650,712 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0579US Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/22/2006



**59. (New)** One or more tangible computer-readable media comprising computer-executable instructions that, when executed, direct a first computing device to:

determine whether a web page includes code to invoke a control object, wherein a first digital signature is associated with the control object;

based on a determination that the web page includes code to invoke the control object, associate a second digital signature with the web page; and

deliver the web page to a second computing device capable of authenticating the second digital signature such that the second computing device executes the code to invoke the control object in response to authenticating the second digital signature, and such that the second computing device prevents execution of the code to invoke the control object in response to failing to authenticate the second digital signature.

Serial No.: 09/650,712 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0579US Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/22/2006



**60. (New)** A system comprising:

a page generator to generate a web page, wherein the web page

includes a control object;

a digital signature module to:

determine whether the web page includes a script to invoke

the control object;

derive a digital signature from the web page;

based on a determination that the web page includes a script

to invoke the control object, attach the digital signature to the web

page such that the digital signature is not directly associated with

the control object; and

a web page delivery module to deliver the web page to an electronic

device.

Serial No.: 09/650,712 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0579US

Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/22/2006

The Business of IP™